

REMARKS

This is a response to the office action issued Oct. 27, 2006. It is accompanied by a petition for a 3-month extension of time to respond. The applicant has cancelled all claims except claims 2, 14 and 16.

The examiner objected to the drawings with regard to claims 4 and 18. Claims 4 and 18 have been cancelled.

Claims 1, 3, 5-13, 17 and 19-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Altaman et al in view of Coleman et al.

The examiner indicated that claims 2, 4, 14, 16 and 18 would be allowable if re-written in independent form. Claim 2 has been so re-written. Claim 4 has been cancelled. Claim 14 has been so re-written. Claim 16 is still in dependent form, and claim 18 has been cancelled. All other claims have been cancelled.

As to claim 16, which is still in dependent form, this claim now depends on claim 14 which was indicated allowable if re-written. Claim 14 has been re-written and is now allowable. Since claim 16 adds further limitations to allowable claim 14, it too is allowable without re-writing.

For these reasons, the examiner will find that the claims, re-written are allowable. Therefore, the applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the current pending claims of this application.



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 3532

Respectfully submitted,

Vasilios D. Dossas
Reg. No. 30,745

NIRO SCAVONE HALLER & NIRO
181 W. Madison Street, Suite 4600
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 236-0733